### **UNCLASSIFIED**

## AD NUMBER AD815007 LIMITATION CHANGES TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. FROM: Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; JUN 1967. Other requests shall be referred to Atomic Energy Commission, Sandia Base, Albuquerque, NM. This document contains exportcontrolled technical data. **AUTHORITY** AEDC ltr, 23 Jan 1975

AEDC-TR-67-103

Cy 1

**ARCHIVE COPY** DO NOT LOAN



### PRESSURE AND NORMAL-FORCE DISTRIBUTIONS ON TOMAHAWK AND SANDHAWK MODELS AT MACH NUMBERS 6 AND 8

J. D. Magnan, Jr. ARO, Inc.

**June 1967** 

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments of foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of Atomic Energy Commission, Sandia Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

> The imment has been the over for public release the distribution is instanted aspare, to suggest

VON KÁRMÁN GAS DYNAMICS FACILITY ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT CENTER AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND ARNOLD AIR FORCE STATION, TENNESSEE

> PROTECTION OF AIR FORCE AF 40(600)1200

### NOTICES

When U. S. Government drawings specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government threeby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, or in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or conporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto

Qualified users may obtain comes of this report from the Defense Documentation Center.

References to named commercial products in this report are not to be considered in any sense as an endorsement of the product by the United States Air Force or the Government.

# PRESSURE AND NORMAL-FORCE DISTRIBUTIONS ON TOMAHAWK AND SANDHAWK MODELS AT MACH NUMBERS 6 AND 8

J. D. Magnan, Jr. ARO, Inc.

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of Atomic Energy Commission, Sandia Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

This document has been approved for public release the its distribution is unlimited. At 23 fam. 75

#### **FOREWORD**

The work reported herein was done at the request of the Sandia. Corporation under Program Area 921D.

Sandia Corporation Contract 6007/003 Buyer: J. G. Boyes, 2523 Requester: O. L. George, Jr., 9322

The results of tests presented were obtained by ARO, Inc. (a subsidiary of Sverdrup & Parcel and Associates, Inc.), contract operator of the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC), Air Force Systems Command (AFSC), Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee, under Contract AF40(600)-1200. The test was conducted from February 21 to 23, 1967, under ARO Project No. VB1761, and the manuscript was submitted for publication on April 26, 1967.

Information in this report is embargoed under the Department of State International Traffic in Arms Regulations. This report may be released to foreign governments by departments or agencies of the U.S. Covernment subject to approval of the Atomic Energy Commission, Sandia Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico, or higher authority within the Department of the Air Force. Private individuals or firms require a Department of State export license.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

James N. McCready Major, USAF AF Representative, VKF Directorate of Test Leonard T. Glaser Colonel, USAF Director of Test

### ABSTRACT

Wind tunnel tests were conducted at Mach numbers of 6 and 8 to obtain pressure and normal-force distribution data on the Tomahawk and Sandhawk configurations. Model angle of attack ranged from -4 to +6 deg at free-stream Reynolds numbers, based on model diameter, of  $0.43 \times 10^6$  and  $0.73 \times 10^6$  at Mach number 6 and  $0.43 \times 10^6$  and  $0.58 \times 10^6$  at Mach number 8. Selected results are presented to illustrate the types and quality of data obtained.

### CONTENTS

																					Page
	NON INT	TRACT MENCL RODUC PARAT	ATUR TION	E															•	•	iii vi 1
	2.1 2.2 2.3	Model Wind ' Instru CEDU	s and Tunnel menta				•	•	•		•		•	٠				•			1 1 2
	3.1 3.2	Test ( Data I ULTS	Conditi Reduct	ion .				•	•										•		2 3 3
							TA	BL	Ε												
ī.	Test	t Condi	tions.		•			•	•	•	•	•	•		•			•			3
							APP Hust														
Figu	ıre																				
1		Model					_	_				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
2		Model a. b. c.	Model Model Press	l Deta l Inst	ails run	 nen	tati	ion		•		•	•	•	•	•			•	•	8 9 10
3		Schlie $\alpha = 0$ ,	ren Pi Re <sub>∞D</sub>	otog:	rapl	h of	6,	om M,	ah ••	av = 7	vk 7.9	M 98	od •	el •	at •					-	11
4	•	Effect Force		_															•	•	12
5		Effect Force		•																•	13
6	<b>i.</b>	Effect Force				er 												•			14
7	· .	-	arison Distr																•		15

### NOMENCLATURE

a	Area per unit length, in.
$c_{N_x}$	Unit normal-force coefficient (see Section 3.2), in. $^{-1}$
Cp	Pressure coefficient, $(p - p_{\omega})/q_{\omega}$
j	Number of pressure orifices in one quadrant at any model station
M	Mach number
p	Pressure, psia
9	Dynamic pressure, psia
Re	Unit Reynolds number, ft <sup>-1</sup>
r	Local model radius, in.
S	Maximum model cross sectional area, 3.142 in. 2
Т	Temperature, °R
x	Axial distance from virtual apex of model, in.
α	Angle of attack, deg

### **SUBSCRIPTS**

D	Reynolds number based upon 2.000 in. (maximum model diameter)
i	Pressure orifice number at any model station
l	Conditions on the lower surface of model
0	Tunnel stilling chamber conditions
u	Conditions on the upper surface of model
œ	Free-stream conditions

### SECTION I

A study is being made by the Sandia Corporation to evaluate the effect of aeroelasticity on the static and dynamic stability of a rocket vehicle. The purpose of the test described in this report was to provide pressure and normal-force distribution data to be used in a computer program which evaluates the structural bending of a rocket body. The configuration was a scale model of the Tomahawk vehicle with fins which could be changed to simulate the Sandhawk vehicle. Model angle of attack was varied from -4 to +6 deg at Reynolds numbers, based on model diameter, of  $0.43 \times 10^6$  and  $0.73 \times 10^6$  at Mach number 6 and  $0.43 \times 10^6$  and  $0.58 \times 10^6$  at Mach number 8.

### SECTION II

#### 2.1 MODELS AND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT

The model (Figs. 1 and 2) was furnished by the Sandia Corporation. It was a slightly blunted tangent-ogive cylinder of 47.073-in. overall length and 2-in. diameter with fins mounted on the downstream end. There were two sets of fins: one for the Tomahawk configuration and the other for the Sandhawk configuration. The model body was constructed of 42-percent nickel-iron alloy, and the fins were made of stainless steel.

The model was instrumented with 0.042-in.-diam orifices at 16 different model stations (Fig. 2a). Each station had a set of orifices in one quadrant on the upper half of the model and one quadrant on the lower half of the model (Fig. 2b). Model temperatures were monitored by six thermocouples, three on the upper half of the model and three on the lower half, to assure a temperature differential of less than 25 deg between the windward and leeward sides of the model to minimize warpage caused by differential heating.

### 2.2 WIND TUNNEL

Tunnel B is a continuous, closed-circuit, variable density wind tunnel with an axisymmetric contoured nozzle and a 50-in.-diam test

section. The tunnel operates at a nominal Mach number of 6 or 8 at stagnation pressures from 20 to 250 and from 50 to 900 psia, respectively, at stagnation temperatures up to 1350°R. The model may be injected into the tunnel for a test run and then retracted for model cooling or model changes without interrupting the tunnel flow. A description of the tunnel may be found in the Test Facilities Handbook 1.

#### 2.3 INSTRUMENTATION

Model pressures were measured with 15-psid transducers referenced to a near vacuum. From repeat calibrations, the estimated measurement precision was ±0.003 psia or ±0.5 percent, whichever was greater. Model flow field schlieren photographs were obtained during selected tests. Figure 3 shows a typical photograph of the flow over the forward portion of the model.

### SECTION III PROCEDURE

#### 3.1 TEST CONDITIONS

A summary of the combinations of tunnel conditions, model configurations, and model attitudes is given in Table I. The tests were

TABLE I TEST CONDITIONS

Configuration	M <sub>∞</sub>	Re <sub>∞</sub> x 10-6	p <sub>o</sub> , psia	Το, °R	p <sub>o</sub> , psia	q <sub>∞</sub> , psia	α, deg
Tomahawk	6.03	2.6	145	850	0.089	2.27	0,2,4,6
	6.05	4,4	250	850	0.150	3,86	-4,0,2,4,6
	7.98	2.6	575	1310	0.060	2.67	0,2,4,6
	8.01	3.5	800	1335	0.081	3.65	0,2,4,6
Sandhawk	6.03	2.6	145	850	0.089	2.27	-4,0,2,4,6
	6.05	4.4	250	850	0.150	3.86	-4,0,2,4,6
	7.98	2.6	575	1310	0.060	2.67	0,2,4,6
	8.01	3.5	800	1335	0.081	3,65	0,2,4,6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Test Facilities Handbook (6th Edition). "von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility, Vol. 4." Arnold Engineering Development Center, November 1966.

conducted at free-stream unit Reynolds numbers of 2.6 x  $10^6$  and 4.4 x  $10^6$  ft<sup>-1</sup> at Mach number 6 and 2.6 x  $10^6$  and 3.5 x  $10^6$  ft<sup>-1</sup> at Mach number 8 over a model angle-of-attack range of -4 to +6 deg.

#### 3.2 DATA REDUCTION

The pressure orifices were located at 16 longitudinal model stations with the orifices at the first eight locations (from x = 0 to x = 36,000 in.) laid out on an equal projected area basis (see Fig. 2c). The unit normal-force coefficients for these model stations were computed from

$$C_{N_x} = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{2r}{j} \left( C_{P_{L_i}} - C_{P_{u_i}} \right)$$

At model stations of 41.291, 43.166, 43.916, and 44.666 in., the unit normal-force coefficient was calculated from the relation

$$C_{N_x} = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i=1}^{3} 2a_i \left( C_{P_{\xi_i}} - C_{P_{u_i}} \right)$$

No normal-force calculations were made for model stations 40.166, 42.416, 45.416, and 46.166 in.

### SECTION IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A plot of unit normal-force coefficient versus axial distance along the model at Mach number 7.98 and  $\text{Re}_{\infty D} = 0.43 \cdot \text{x} \cdot 10^6$  is presented in Fig. 4 for various angles of attack. These distributions show an increase in unit normal-force coefficient with angle of attack with each distribution peaking at about x = 2 in. Figure 5 shows little Reynolds number effect on the Tomahawk model at Mach number 8 at 6-deg angle of attack.

The normal-force distributions on the Tomahawk configuration at 6-deg angle of attack (Reynolds number based on model diameter of 0.43 x 10<sup>6</sup>) for variable Mach number are shown in Fig. 6. Normal-force coefficients at Mach number 6 ranged from 9 to 12 percent higher than those at Mach number 7.98 over most of the model length; the maximum deviation of approximately 30 percent occurred in the fin interaction region on the downstream end of the model.

Figure 7 gives a typical comparison of the normal-force distributions on Tomahawk and Sandhawk configurations at  $M_{\infty}$  = 7.98 and  $\text{Re}_{\infty D}$  = 0.43 x 10<sup>6</sup>. The two configurations give identical data over all but the fin region from x = 40 to 45 in.

APPENDIX ILLUSTRATIONS




Fig. 1 Model Installation Photograph




Fig. 2 Model Details and Instrumentation






Fig. 2 Continued



Orifice Layout for Instrumentation Locations x = 1.327 through 36.000 in.



Orifice Layout for Instrumentation Locations x = 41.291, 43.166, 43.916, and 44.666 in.

c. Pressure Orifice Layout

Fig. 2 Concluded




Fig. 3 Schlieren Photograph of Tomahawk Model at a= 0,  $\mathrm{Re}_{\infty}{}_\mathrm{D}=$  0.43 x 10 6,  $\mathrm{M}_{\infty}=$  7.98




Fig. 4 Effect of Angle of Attack on Tomohawk Normal-Force Distribution




Fig. 5 Effect of Reynolds Number on Tomahawk Normal-Force Distribution




Fig. 6 Effect of Mach Number on Tamahawk Normal-Force Distribution




Fig. 7 Comparison of Tomahawk and Sandhawk Normal-Force Distributions

Security Classification								
DOCU (Security clearification of title, body of abstra	MENT CONTROL DATA - Rect and indexing annotation must be		ill report is clessified)					
originating activity (Composets author) Arnold Engineering Develop	UNCLASSIFIED  26 GROUP N/A							
ARO, Inc., Operating Contr Arnold Air Force Station,								
PRESSURE AND NORMAL-FORCE : MODELS AT MACH NUMBERS 6 A		OMAHAWK ANI	SANDHAWK					
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and Inclusi N/A	ve dates)							
5. AUTHOR(5) (Lest name, liret name, initial) Magnan, J. D. Jr., ARO, I	nc.							
6. REPORT DATE June 1967	7e. TOTAL NO. OF	PAGES 75. N	D. OF REPS					
AF 40(600)-1200	Se. ORIGINATOR'S	AEDC-TR-67-103						
b. PROJECT NO.	AEDC-TR-							
c. Program Area 921D	9b. OTHER REPOR	9.b. OTHER REPORT NO(5) (Any other numbers that may be seeigned this report)						
đ.	N/A							

controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of AEC, Sandia Base,

13 ABSTRACT

Albuquerque, N. M.

Available in DDC

Wind tunnel tests were conducted at Mach numbers of 6 and 8 to obtain pressure and normal-force distribution data on the Tomahawk and Sandhawk configurations. Model angle of attack ranged from -4 to +6 deg at free-stream Reynolds numbers, based on model diameter, of  $0.43 \times 10^6$  and  $0.73 \times 10^6$  at Mach number 6 and  $0.43 \times 10^6$  and  $0.58 \times 10^6$  at Mach number 8. Selected results are presented to illustrate the types and quality of data obtained.

This Controlled Son approved for public release the its distribution is unlimited. It is sufficient to supplie the suffer of the

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Atomic Energy Commission

Albuquerque, New Mexico

Sandia Base

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.
- 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Atso, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
- 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
- 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or finat. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
- 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
- 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7e. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES. Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9s. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document witl be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 96. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).
- 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any timitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Quallfied DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sate to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

- 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.
- 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or taboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.
- 13 ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military accurity classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U)

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as Index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic tocation, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.